UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

| TINA McPHERSON, | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, v. | Case Number 20-13152 Honorable David M. Lawson |
| SUBURBAN ANN ARBOR, LLC, | |
| Defendants/ | |
| VERDICT FOR | <u>M</u> |
| We, the jury, unanimously answer the questions | submitted as follows: |
| ITEM NO. 1, LIABILITY | |
| A. On the claim by Tina McPherson against violation of the Truth In Lending Act, we the jury find it | defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC for n favor of: |
| Plaintiff Tina McPherson Defendant Suburban Ann Arbor I | LLC |
| B. On the claim by Tina McPherson against violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, we the jury fi | defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC for ind in favor of: |
| Plaintiff Tina McPherson Defendant Suburban Ann Arbor I | LLC |
| C. On the claim by Tina McPherson against violation of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, we the ju | defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC for ry find in favor of: |
| Plaintiff Tina McPherson Defendant Suburban Ann Arbor I | LLC |
| D. On the claim by Tina McPherson against violation of the Michigan Regulation of Collection Prac | defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC for tices Act, we the jury find in favor of: |
| Plaintiff Tina McPherson Defendant Suburban Ann Arbor I | LC |

| If you found for the plaintiff on this claim in item D, was the defendant's conduct |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| willful? Yes. No. |
| E. On the claim by Tina McPherson against defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC for violation of the Michigan Motor Vehicle Sales Finance Act, we the jury find in favor of: |
| Plaintiff Tina McPherson Defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC |
| F. On the claim by Tina McPherson against defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC for violation of the Michigan Credit Reform Act, we the jury find in favor of: |
| Plaintiff Tina McPherson Defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC |
| G. On the claim by Tina McPherson against defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC for violation of the Uniform Commercial Code, we the jury find in favor of: |
| Plaintiff Tina McPherson Defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC |
| H. On the claim by Tina McPherson against defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC for conversion, we the jury find in favor of: |
| Plaintiff Tina McPherson Defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC |
| |

(continue to next page)

If you found for the plaintiff against the defendant on any of items A through H in Item 1, continue on to Item No. 2. and Item No. 3. If you find for the defendant on all items above, stop.

ITEM NO. 2, COMPENSATORY DAMAGES:

Dated: November 3 , 2023

| A. | We find that the plaintiff, Tina McPherson: |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| V | suffered damages and award compensatory damages in the amount of \$ |
| — OR | |
| | suffered no actual damages. |
| B. following que | If you found for the plaintiff under item H, above (conversion), answer the estion: |
| | We find that the value of the converted property is \$ 23,000. |
| ITEM NO. 3, | PUNITIVE DAMAGES: |
| found the def | nay not award punitive damages against the defendant unless you have first fendant liable in Item No. 1, parts B, or C. Actual damages are not necessary sess punitive damages. |
| \checkmark | We assess punitive damages against defendant Suburban Ann Arbor LLC as follows: \$350,000. |
| — OR | . |
| | We do not assess punitive damages. |
| | s/ Jury Foreperson In compliance with the Privacy Policy adopted by the Judicial Conference, the verdict form with the original signature has been filed under seal. |